

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

Applies to all products administered or underwritten by Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana and its subsidiary, HMO Louisiana, Inc. (collectively referred to as the "Company"), unless otherwise provided in the applicable contract. Medical technology is constantly evolving, and we reserve the right to review and update Medical Policy periodically.

Note: Mechanical Stretch Devices for Joint Stiffness and Contractures is addressed in medical policy 00193.

Note: Autografts and Allografts in the Treatment of Focal Articular Cartilage Lesions is addressed in medical policy 00091.

Note: Autologous Chondrocyte Implantation for Focal Articular Cartilage Lesions is addressed in medical policy 00006.

### When Services May Be Eligible for Coverage

Coverage for eligible medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products may be provided only if:

- Benefits are available in the member's contract/certificate, and
- Medical necessity criteria and guidelines are met.

Based on review of available data, the Company may consider the use of continuous passive motion (CPM) in the home setting to be **eligible for coverage**.\*\*

#### Patient Selection Criteria

The use of continuous passive motion in the home setting may be considered **eligible for coverage\*\*** as an adjunct to physical therapy when initiated within the first 48 hours following surgery in the following situations:

- Under conditions of low postoperative mobility or inability to comply with rehabilitation exercises following a total knee arthroplasty (TKA) or total knee arthroplasty revision. This may include individuals with complex regional pain syndrome (reflex sympathetic dystrophy); extensive arthrofibrosis or tendon fibrosis; or physical, mental, or behavioral inability to participate in active physical therapy; OR
- During the non-weight-bearing rehabilitation period following articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee (eg, microfracture, osteochondral grafting, autologous chondrocyte implantation, treatment of osteochondritis dissecans, repair of tibial plateau fractures).

#### *Note:*

Following total knee arthroplasty, continuous passive motion in the home setting will be allowed for up to 21 days after surgery while individuals are immobile or unable to bear weight.

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana is an independent licensee of the Blue Cross Blue Shield Association. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission from Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Louisiana.

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

Following articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee, continuous passive motion in the home setting will be allowed for up to 6 weeks during non-weight-bearing rehabilitation.

### When Services Are Considered Investigational

Coverage is not available for investigational medical treatments or procedures, drugs, devices or biological products.

Based on review of available data, the Company considers continuous passive motion (CPM) when patient selection criteria are not met to be **investigational.**\*

The use of continuous passive motion in the home setting for all other conditions is considered **investigational**\*, including but not limited to use for other joints, temporomandibular joint (TMJ), treatment of degenerative joint disease, or chronic contractures.

Continuous passive motion (CPM) is considered to be **investigational**\* for any of the following:

- For use longer than 21 days from the date of first application following total knee arthroplasty; OR
- For use longer than 6 weeks from the date of first application following articular cartilage repair procedure.

### **Policy Guidelines**

Synthetic sheepskin pad (E0188) and lamb's wool sheepskin pad (E0189) are included in the rental fee of the CPM device and are not separately payable.

### **Background/Overview**

Physical therapy of joints following surgery focuses both on passive motion to restore mobility and on active exercises to restore strength. While passive motion can be administered by a therapist, continuous passive motion devices have also been used. Continuous passive motion is thought to improve recovery by stimulating the healing of articular tissues and the circulation of synovial fluid; reducing local edema; and preventing adhesions, joint stiffness or contractures, or cartilage degeneration. Continuous passive motion has been investigated primarily in the knee, particularly after total knee arthroplasty or ligamentous or cartilage repair. Acceptance of its use in the knee joint has created interest in continuous passive motion use for other weight-bearing joints (ie, hip, ankle, metatarsals) as well as non-weight-bearing joints (ie, shoulder, elbow, metacarpals, interphalangeal joints). Use of continuous passive motion in stroke and burn individuals is also being explored.

The device used for the knee moves the joint (eg, flexion and extension) without patient assistance, continuously for extended periods of time (ie, up to 24 h/d). An electrical power unit is used to set the variable range of motion and speed. The initial settings for range of motion are based on a

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

patient's level of comfort and other factors assessed intraoperatively. The range of motion is increased by 3 to 5 degrees per day, as tolerated. The speed and range of motion can be varied, depending on joint stability. The use of the device may be initiated in the immediate postoperative period and then continued at home for a variable period of time.

Over time, hospital lengths of stay have progressively shortened and, in some cases, surgical repair is done as an outpatient or with a length of stay of 1 to 2 days. As a result, there has been a considerable shift in the rehabilitation regimen, moving range of motion an intensive in-hospital program to a less intensive outpatient program. Some providers may want individuals to continue continuous passive motion in the home setting as a means of duplicating services offered with a longer (7-day) hospital stay.

The focus of the current review is to examine the literature on the use of continuous passive motion in the home setting as it is currently being prescribed postoperatively. Relevant comparisons are treatment outcomes of continuous passive motion when used alone or with physical therapy, compared with physical therapy alone.

### FDA or Other Governmental Regulatory Approval

#### U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Continuous passive motion devices are considered class 1 devices by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and are exempt range of motion 510(k) requirements. This classification does not require submission of clinical data on efficacy but only notification of the Food and Drug Administration prior to marketing. Food and Drug Administration product code: BXB.

### Rationale/Source

This medical policy was developed through consideration of peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval status, nationally accepted standards of medical practice and accepted standards of medical practice in this community, technology evaluation centers, reference to regulations, other plan medical policies, and accredited national guidelines.

#### **Description**

Continuous passive motion devices are used to keep a joint in motion without patient assistance. continuous passive motion is being evaluated for treatment and postsurgical rehabilitation of the upper- and lower-limb joints and for a variety of musculoskeletal conditions.

#### **Summary of Evidence**

For individuals who have total knee arthroplasty who receive continuous passive motion in the home setting, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs), case series, and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Early trials generally used

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

continuous passive motion in the inpatient setting and are less relevant to today's practice patterns of short hospital stays followed by outpatient rehabilitation. Current postoperative rehabilitation protocols differ considerably from when the largest body of evidence was collected, making it difficult to apply available evidence to the present situation. For use of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty, recent studies have suggested that institutional and home use of continuous passive motion has no benefit compared with standard physical therapy (PT). There were no studies evaluating continuous passive motion in individuals who could not perform standard PT. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have articular cartilage repair of the knee who receive continuous passive motion in the home setting, the evidence includes nonrandomized studies, case series, and studies with nonclinical outcomes (eg, histology), and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Systematic reviews of continuous passive motion for this indication have cited studies reporting better histologic outcomes in individuals following continuous passive motion. A few studies have reported clinical outcomes but inadequacies of these studies do not permit conclusions on efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have musculoskeletal conditions other than total knee arthroplasty or knee cartilage repair requiring PT who receive continuous passive motion in the home setting, the evidence includes RCTs for some conditions and case series for others. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. Three small RCTs of continuous passive motion after rotator cuff surgery showed some evidence that continuous passive motion after this shoulder surgery improved short-term pain and range of motion; however, the trials were not high-quality, and the small differences in outcomes may not be clinically important. Two trials reported short-term improvements in range of motion for individuals undergoing continuous passive motion, and one reported a short-term reduction in pain. None reported long-term improvements, and there are no reported benefits in functional status. Therefore, the clinical significance of the short-term improvements reported is uncertain. In addition, there is uncertainty about the optimal PT regimen following shoulder surgery such that the optimal treatment comparator for continuous passive motion is unclear. A systematic review and two small RCTs compared continuous passive motion with conventional PT for treatment of adhesive capsulitis. The systematic review concluded that continuous passive motion may be effective in the short-term. One of the trials focused on diabetic patients with adhesive capsulitis. Both reported comparable improvements in range of motion and functional ability between treatment groups. Although no RCTs of continuous passive motion in the home setting after repair of the anterior cruciate ligament were identified, indirect evidence from RCTs conducted in the inpatient immediate postoperative setting following anterior cruciate ligament repair indicated no additional benefit with continuous passive motion compared to conventional PT. For other musculoskeletal conditions, RCTs do not exist; case series either did not

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

show efficacy of continuous passive motion or had important methodologic flaws. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have had a stroke requiring PT who receive continuous passive motion in the home setting, the evidence includes 2 small RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms and functional outcomes. These trials reported mixed results; 1 RCT indicated a non-significant trend toward improvement in shoulder joint stability with continuous passive motion and PT relative to PT alone, while the other indicated significant improvement in functional outcomes related to wrist movement and global upper extremity movement symptoms with continuous passive motion plus conventional therapy relative to conventional therapy alone. Both trials were small and treatment lasted only 20 days in the shoulder joint study. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

# Additional Information 2010 Input

For individuals unable to tolerate exercise regimens following total knee arthroplasty, continuous passive motion is an alternative modality. However, there is no evidence to support its use in this situation. Clinical input obtained in 2010 supports the use of continuous passive motion under conditions of low postoperative mobility or inability to comply with rehabilitation exercises following a total knee arthroplasty or total knee arthroplasty revision.

#### **2016 Input**

Despite a lack of published evidence, clinical input obtained in 2016 supports the use of continuous passive motion after articular cartilage repair of the knee.

### **Supplemental Information**

The purpose of the following information is to provide reference material. Inclusion does not imply endorsement or alignment with the evidence review conclusions.

### **Clinical Input Range of Motion Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

#### **2016 Input**

In response to requests, input was received range of motion 2 physician specialty societies and 1 academic medical center while this policy was under review in 2016. Input considered continuous passive motion (continuous passive motion) medically necessary as an adjunct to physical therapy during the non-weight-bearing rehabilitation period following articular cartilage repair procedures

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

of the knee. One reviewer referred to the American Academy of Orthopedic Surgery (2015) guidelines on the surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee, which concluded that there was strong evidence that continuous passive motion after knee arthroplasty does not improve outcomes.

#### **2010 Input**

In response to requests, input was received range of motion 2 physician specialty societies and 5 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2010. Overall, input supported the use of continuous passive motion under conditions of low postoperative mobility or inability to comply with rehabilitation exercises after total knee arthroplasty or total knee arthroplasty revision or during the non-weight-bearing rehabilitation period following articular cartilage repair procedures of the knee. Support was limited for use of continuous passive motion in joints other than the knee or in situations or conditions other than those described in this evidence review.

#### **2008 Input**

In response to requests, input was received range of motion 1 physician specialty society and 2 academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2008. The 3 reviewers interpreted the existing literature as supporting the use of continuous passive motion for the knee for at least 7 days post operatively, whether in the hospital or home, and suggested that longer use of continuous passive motion would be warranted for special conditions.

#### **Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

#### **American Physical Therapy Association**

In 2020, the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) published a clinical practice guideline on physical therapists' management of patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. The APTA identified 4 high-quality studies, 6 moderate-quality studies, and 2 low-quality studies evaluating the effect of continuous passive motion devices on knee flexion and extension range of motion and need for manipulation under anesthesia, with moderate-quality studies indicating benefit with continuous passive motion contradicted by high-quality studies indicating no significant difference. Meta-analyses did not indicate a significant impact of continuous passive motion on function or hospital length of stay. The APTA concluded that "physical therapists should NOT use CPMs [continuous passive motion devices] for patients who have undergone primary, uncomplicated TKA [total knee arthroplasty]."

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

#### **American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons**

In 2015, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) published evidence-based guidelines on the surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee. The AAOS identified 2 high-quality studies and 5 moderate-quality studies that evaluated the use of continuous passive motion. In one high-quality study, continuous passive motion was used for about 2 weeks after discharge. The AAOS concluded that "the combined results provide strong evidence that the surgical outcomes for those who used continuous passive motion are not better than for those who did not use continuous passive motion." The 2022 update to the AAOS guidelines, which replaces the 2015 version, does not address use of continuous passive motion.

#### **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations**

Not applicable.

#### **Medicare National Coverage**

In 2005, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services issued a national coverage determination on durable medical equipment reference, which stated:

"Continuous passive motion devices are devices covered for individuals who have received a total knee replacement. To qualify for coverage, use of the device must commence within 2 days following surgery. In addition, coverage is limited to that portion of the 3-week period following surgery during which the device is used in the patient's home. There is insufficient evidence to justify coverage of these devices for longer periods of time or for other applications."

#### **Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials**

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1. Summary of Key Trials** 

NCT No.	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT05952622	Comparison of Functional and Patient-reported Outcome Using Continuous Passive Motion in Rehabilitation After Plate Osteosynthesis of Proximal Humerus Fractures	103	Dec 2023
NCT05226988	Effect of Hybrid Robot-assisted Training Using End-effector and Exoskeleton Devices in Distal Upper Extremity After Stroke: Motor Control, Motor and Daily Function, Quality of Life	70	Oct 2025

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

Unpublished			
NCT01420887	Preservation of Joint Function Using Postoperative Continuous Passive Motion (continuous passive motion): A Pilot Study	60	May 2020

NCT: national clinical trial.

### References

- 1. O'Driscoll SW, Giori NJ. Continuous passive motion (CPM): theory and principles of clinical application. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2000; 37(2): 179-88. PMID 10850824
- 2. Gholson JJ, Noiseux NO, Otero JE, et al. Patient Factors Systematically Influence Hospital Length of Stay in Common Orthopaedic Procedures. Iowa Orthop J. 2017; 37: 233-237. PMID 28852363
- 3. McInnes J, Larson MG, Daltroy LH, et al. A controlled evaluation of continuous passive motion in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty. JAMA. Sep 16 1992; 268(11): 1423-8. PMID 1512910
- 4. Milne S, Brosseau L, Robinson V, et al. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003; (2): CD004260. PMID 12804511
- 5. Brosseau L, Milne S, Wells G, et al. Efficacy of continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty: a metaanalysis. J Rheumatol. Nov 2004; 31(11): 2251-64. PMID 15517640
- 6. Harvey LA, Brosseau L, Herbert RD. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty in people with arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Feb 06 2014; 2014(2): CD004260. PMID 24500904
- 7. Harvey LA, Brosseau L, Herbert RD. Continuous passive motion following total knee arthroplasty in people with arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Mar 17 2010; (3): CD004260. PMID 20238330
- 8. He ML, Xiao ZM, Lei M, et al. Continuous passive motion for preventing venous thromboembolism after total knee arthroplasty. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 29 2014; 2014(7): CD008207. PMID 25069620
- 9. Yashar AA, Venn-Watson E, Welsh T, et al. Continuous passive motion with accelerated flexion after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Dec 1997; (345): 38-43. PMID 9418619
- 10. MacDonald SJ, Bourne RB, Rorabeck CH, et al. Prospective randomized clinical trial of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Nov 2000; (380): 30-5. PMID 11064970
- 11. Pope RO, Corcoran S, McCaul K, et al. Continuous passive motion after primary total knee arthroplasty. Does it offer any benefits?. J Bone Joint Surg Br. Nov 1997; 79(6): 914-7. PMID 9393903
- 12. Kumar PJ, McPherson EJ, Dorr LD, et al. Rehabilitation after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of 2 rehabilitation techniques. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Oct 1996; (331): 93-101. PMID 8895624

Policy # 00020

- 13. Bruun-Olsen V, Heiberg KE, Mengshoel AM. Continuous passive motion as an adjunct to active exercises in early rehabilitation following total knee arthroplasty a randomized controlled trial. Disabil Rehabil. 2009; 31(4): 277-83. PMID 18608367
- 14. Denis M, Moffet H, Caron F, et al. Effectiveness of continuous passive motion and conventional physical therapy after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized clinical trial. Phys Ther. Feb 2006; 86(2): 174-85. PMID 16445331
- 15. Leach W, Reid J, Murphy F. Continuous passive motion following total knee replacement: a prospective randomized trial with follow-up to 1 year. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. Oct 2006; 14(10): 922-6. PMID 16489477
- 16. Boese CK, Weis M, Phillips T, et al. The efficacy of continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of three protocols. J Arthroplasty. Jun 2014; 29(6): 1158-62. PMID 24412145
- 17. Herbold JA, Bonistall K, Blackburn M, et al. Randomized controlled trial of the effectiveness of continuous passive motion after total knee replacement. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. Jul 2014; 95(7): 1240-5. PMID 24685389
- 18. Chen B, Zimmerman JR, Soulen L, et al. Continuous passive motion after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective study. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2000; 79(5): 421-6. PMID 10994883
- 19. Herbold JA, Bonistall K, Blackburn M. Effectiveness of continuous passive motion in an inpatient rehabilitation hospital after total knee replacement: a matched cohort study. PM R. Oct 2012; 4(10): 719-25. PMID 22959052
- 20. Worland RL, Arredondo J, Angles F, et al. Home continuous passive motion machine versus professional physical therapy following total knee replacement. J Arthroplasty. Oct 1998; 13(7): 784-7. PMID 9802665
- 21. Lenssen TA, van Steyn MJ, Crijns YH, et al. Effectiveness of prolonged use of continuous passive motion (CPM), as an adjunct to physiotherapy, after total knee arthroplasty. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. Apr 29 2008; 9: 60. PMID 18442423
- 22. Browne JE, Anderson AF, Arciero R, et al. Clinical outcome of autologous chondrocyte implantation at 5 years in US subjects. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Jul 2005; (436): 237-45. PMID 15995447
- 23. Farr J. Autologous chondrocyte implantation improves patellofemoral cartilage treatment outcomes. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Oct 2007; 463: 187-94. PMID 17960681
- 24. Rosenberger RE, Gomoll AH, Bryant T, et al. Repair of large chondral defects of the knee with autologous chondrocyte implantation in patients 45 years or older. Am J Sports Med. Dec 2008; 36(12): 2336-44. PMID 18725654
- 25. Nugent-Derfus GE, Takara T, O'neill JK, et al. Continuous passive motion applied to whole joints stimulates chondrocyte biosynthesis of PRG4. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. May 2007; 15(5): 566-74. PMID 17157538
- 26. Salter RB. The biologic concept of continuous passive motion of synovial joints. The first 18 years of basic research and its clinical application. Clin Orthop Relat Res. May 1989; (242): 12-25. PMID 2650945

Policy # 00020

- 27. Fazalare JA, Griesser MJ, Siston RA, et al. The use of continuous passive motion following knee cartilage defect surgery: a systematic review. Orthopedics. Dec 01 2010; 33(12): 878. PMID 21162503
- 28. Howard JS, Mattacola CG, Romine SE, et al. Continuous Passive Motion, Early Weight Bearing, and Active Motion following Knee Articular Cartilage Repair: Evidence for Clinical Practice. Cartilage. Oct 2010; 1(4): 276-86. PMID 26069559
- 29. Hill AD, Palmer MJ, Tanner SL, et al. Use of Continuous Passive Motion in the Postoperative Treatment of Intra-Articular Knee Fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am. Jul 16 2014; 96(14): e118. PMID 25031380
- 30. Wright RW, Preston E, Fleming BC, et al. A systematic review of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction rehabilitation: part I: continuous passive motion, early weight bearing, postoperative bracing, and home-based rehabilitation. J Knee Surg. Jul 2008; 21(3): 217-24. PMID 18686484
- 31. Culvenor AG, Girdwood MA, Juhl CB, et al. Rehabilitation after anterior cruciate ligament and meniscal injuries: a best-evidence synthesis of systematic reviews for the OPTIKNEE consensus. Br J Sports Med. Dec 2022; 56(24): 1445-1453. PMID 35768181
- 32. Gatewood CT, Tran AA, Dragoo JL. The efficacy of post-operative devices following knee arthroscopic surgery: a systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. Feb 2017; 25(2): 501-516. PMID 27695905
- 33. Friemert B, Bach C, Schwarz W, et al. Benefits of active motion for joint position sense. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. Jun 2006; 14(6): 564-70. PMID 16328464
- 34. Du Plessis M, Eksteen E, Jenneker A, et al. The effectiveness of continuous passive motion on range of motion, pain and muscle strength following rotator cuff repair: a systematic review. Clin Rehabil. Apr 2011; 25(4): 291-302. PMID 20943710
- 35. Lastayo PC, Wright T, Jaffe R, et al. Continuous passive motion after repair of the rotator cuff. A prospective outcome study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. Jul 1998; 80(7): 1002-11. PMID 9698005
- 36. Raab MG, Rzeszutko D, O'Connor W, et al. Early results of continuous passive motion after rotator cuff repair: a prospective, randomized, blinded, controlled study. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). Mar 1996; 25(3): 214-20. PMID 8775698
- 37. Michael JW, König DP, Imhoff AB, et al. [Efficiency of a postoperative treatment after rotator cuff repair with a continuous passive motion device (CPM)]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb. 2005; 143(4): 438-45. PMID 16118760
- 38. Garofalo R, Conti M, Notarnicola A, et al. Effects of one-month continuous passive motion after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: results at 1-year follow-up of a prospective randomized study. Musculoskelet Surg. May 2010; 94 Suppl 1: S79-83. PMID 20383685
- 39. Simkin PA, de Lateur BJ, Alquist AD, et al. Continuous passive motion for osteoarthritis of the hip: a pilot study. J Rheumatol. Sep 1999; 26(9): 1987-91. PMID 10493681
- 40. Olasinde AA, Olisa O, Muhumuza J, et al. Early outcome measurement of the effectiveness of conventional physical therapy versus continuous passive motion in knee function following retrograde femoral nailing-a prospective randomized controlled trial. Int Orthop. Aug 2023; 47(8): 2085-2093. PMID 37269402

Policy # 00020

- 41. Baradaran A, Ebrahimzadeh MH, Sabzevari S, et al. Is there any advantage between using continuous passive motion and conventional physical therapy in patients with primary adhesive capsulitis?: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Bodyw Mov Ther. Oct 2023; 36: 133-141. PMID 37949549
- 42. Dundar U, Toktas H, Cakir T, et al. Continuous passive motion provides good pain control in patients with adhesive capsulitis. Int J Rehabil Res. Sep 2009; 32(3): 193-8. PMID 19011582
- 43. Ekim AA, İnal EE, Gönüllü E, et al. Continuous passive motion in adhesive capsulitis patients with diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled trial. J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil. Nov 21 2016; 29(4): 779-786. PMID 27002662
- 44. Lindenhovius AL, van de Luijtgaarden K, Ring D, et al. Open elbow contracture release: postoperative management with and without continuous passive motion. J Hand Surg Am. 2009; 34(5): 858-65. PMID 19362791
- 45. Ring D, Simmons BP, Hayes M. Continuous passive motion following metacarpophalangeal joint arthroplasty. J Hand Surg Am. May 1998; 23(3): 505-11. PMID 9620192
- 46. Schwartz DA, Chafetz R. Continuous passive motion after tenolysis in hand therapy patients: a retrospective study. J Hand Ther. 2008; 21(3): 261-6; quiz 267. PMID 18652971
- 47. Zeifang F, Carstens C, Schneider S, et al. Continuous passive motion versus immobilisation in a cast after surgical treatment of idiopathic club foot in infants: a prospective, blinded, randomized, clinical study. J Bone Joint Surg Br. Dec 2005; 87(12): 1663-5. PMID 16326882
- 48. Kasten P, Geiger F, Zeifang F, et al. Compliance with continuous passive movement is low after surgical treatment of idiopathic club foot in infants: a prospective, double-blinded clinical study. J Bone Joint Surg Br. Mar 2007; 89(3): 375-7. PMID 17356153
- 49. Gavish L, Barzilay Y, Koren C, et al. Novel continuous passive motion device for self-treatment of chronic lower back pain: a randomised controlled study. Physiotherapy. Mar 2015; 101(1): 75-81. PMID 25280603
- 50. Lynch D, Ferraro M, Krol J, et al. Continuous passive motion improves shoulder joint integrity following stroke. Clin Rehabil. Sep 2005; 19(6): 594-9. PMID 16180594
- 51. Kuo LC, Yang KC, Lin YC, et al. Internet of Things (IoT) Enables Robot-Assisted Therapy as a Home Program for Training Upper Limb Functions in Chronic Stroke: A Randomized Control Crossover Study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. Mar 2023; 104(3): 363-371. PMID 36122608
- 52. Jette DU, Hunter SJ, Burkett L, et al. Physical Therapist Management of Total Knee Arthroplasty. Phys Ther. Aug 31 2020; 100(9): 1603-1631. PMID 32542403
- 53. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Surgical management of osteoarthritis of the knee: Evidence-based clinical practice guideline. Rosemont, IL: AAOS; 2015.
- 54. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Surgical Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee Evidence Based Clinical Practice Guideline. Published December 2, 2022.
- 55. Center for Medicare & Medicaid. National Coverage Decision (NCD) for Durable Medical Equipment Reference List (280.1). 2023; https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?ncdid=190&ncdver=3&bc=0.

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

## **Policy History**

1 oney instory		
Original Effecti		
Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025		
08/16/2001	Medical Policy Committee review	
08/27/2001	Managed Care Advisory Council approval	
06/24/2002	Format revision. No substance change to policy.	
02/20/2003	Medical Policy Committee review	
04/14/2003	Managed Care Advisory Council approval	
06/01/2004	Medical Director review	
06/15/2004	Medical Policy Committee review	
06/28/2004	Managed Care Advisory Council approval	
03/01/2005	Medical Director review	
03/15/2005	Medical Policy Committee review	
04/04/2005	Managed Care Advisory Council approval	
04/05/2006	Medical Director review	
05/17/2006	Medical Policy Committee approval. Format revision, including addition of FDA	
	and or other governmental regulatory approval and rationale/source. Patient	
	Selection criteria revised to correct redundant criterion. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
05/02/2007	Medical Director review	
05/23/2007	Medical Policy Committee approval. Coverage eligibility unchanged. Rationale	
	revised.	
06/13/2007	Medical Director review	
06/20/2007	Medical Policy Committee approval. Rationale updated. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
10/01/2008	Medical Director review	
10/22/2008	Medical Policy Committee approval. No change to coverage eligibility.	
10/01/2009	Medical Policy Committee approval	
10/14/2009	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage	
	eligibility.	
10/14/2010	Medical Policy Committee review	
10/20/2010	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
10/06/2011	Medical Policy Committee review	
10/19/2011	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
12/06/2012	Medical Policy Committee review	
12/19/2012	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
-	unchanged.	
12/12/2013	Medical Policy Committee review	

Policy # 00020

12/18/2013	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
12/04/2014	unchanged.	
12/04/2014	Medical Policy Committee review	
12/17/2014	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
02/18/2015	Coding update.	
12/03/2015	Medical Policy Committee review	
12/16/2015	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
12/01/2016	Medical Policy Committee review	
12/21/2016	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
01/01/2017	Coding update: Removing ICD-9 Diagnosis Codes	
12/07/2017	Medical Policy Committee review	
12/20/2017	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.	
12/06/2018	Medical Policy Committee review	
12/19/2018	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.	
12/05/2019	Medical Policy Committee review	
12/11/2019	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.	
05/07/2020	Medical Policy Committee review	
05/13/2020	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.	
05/06/2021	Medical Policy Committee review	
05/12/2021	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. "The use of continuous	
	passive motion in the home setting for all other conditions is considered	
	investigational*, including but not limited to use for other joints,	
	temporomandibular joint (TMJ), treatment of degenerative joint disease, or chronic	
	contractures" was added.	
05/05/2022	Medical Policy Committee review	
05/11/2022	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.	
05/04/2023	Medical Policy Committee review	
05/10/2023	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. No change to coverage.	
05/02/2024	Medical Policy Committee review	
05/08/2024	·	
05/01/2025	Medical Policy Committee review	
05/13/2025	Medical Policy Implementation Committee approval. Coverage eligibility	
	unchanged.	
Marra Calcadula	d Basian Data 05/2026	

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

### **Coding**

The five character codes included in the Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines are obtained from Current Procedural Terminology ( $CPT^{@}$ )<sup>‡</sup>, copyright 2024 by the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT is developed by the AMA as a listing of descriptive terms and five character identifying codes and modifiers for reporting medical services and procedures performed by physician.

The responsibility for the content of Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines is with Louisiana Blue and no endorsement by the AMA is intended or should be implied. The AMA disclaims responsibility for any consequences or liability attributable or related to any use, nonuse or interpretation of information contained in Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines. Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein. Any use of CPT outside of Louisiana Blue Medical Policy Coverage Guidelines should refer to the most current Current Procedural Terminology which contains the complete and most current listing of CPT codes and descriptive terms. Applicable FARS/DFARS apply.

CPT is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association.

Codes used to identify services associated with this policy may include (but may not be limited to) the following:

Code Type	Code
CPT	No codes
HCPCS	E0935, E0936
ICD-10 Diagnosis	All Related Diagnoses

\*Investigational – A medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational if the effectiveness has not been clearly tested and it has not been incorporated into standard medical practice. Any determination we make that a medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is Investigational will be based on a consideration of the following:

- A. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product can be lawfully marketed without approval of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and whether such approval has been granted at the time the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product is sought to be furnished; or
- B. Whether the medical treatment, procedure, drug, device, or biological product requires further studies or clinical trials to determine its maximum tolerated dose, toxicity, safety, effectiveness, or effectiveness as compared with the standard means of treatment or

Policy # 00020

Original Effective Date: 05/26/1993 Current Effective Date: 06/01/2025

diagnosis, must improve health outcomes, according to the consensus of opinion among experts as shown by reliable evidence, including:

- 1. Consultation with technology evaluation center(s);
- 2. Credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community; or
- 3. Reference to federal regulations.
- \*\*Medically Necessary (or "Medical Necessity") Health care services, treatment, procedures, equipment, drugs, devices, items or supplies that a Provider, exercising prudent clinical judgment, would provide to a patient for the purpose of preventing, evaluating, diagnosing or treating an illness, injury, disease or its symptoms, and that are:
  - A. In accordance with nationally accepted standards of medical practice;
  - B. Clinically appropriate, in terms of type, frequency, extent, level of care, site and duration, and considered effective for the patient's illness, injury or disease; and
  - C. Not primarily for the personal comfort or convenience of the patient, physician or other health care provider, and not more costly than an alternative service or sequence of services at least as likely to produce equivalent therapeutic or diagnostic results as to the diagnosis or treatment of that patient's illness, injury or disease.

For these purposes, "nationally accepted standards of medical practice" means standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature generally recognized by the relevant medical community, Physician Specialty Society recommendations and the views of Physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas and any other relevant factors.

‡ Indicated trademarks are the registered trademarks of their respective owners.

**NOTICE:** If the Patient's health insurance contract contains language that differs from the BCBSLA Medical Policy definition noted above, the definition in the health insurance contract will be relied upon for specific coverage determinations.

**NOTICE:** Medical Policies are scientific based opinions, provided solely for coverage and informational purposes. Medical Policies should not be construed to suggest that the Company recommends, advocates, requires, encourages, or discourages any particular treatment, procedure, or service, or any particular course of treatment, procedure, or service.

**NOTICE:** Federal and State law, as well as contract language, including definitions and specific contract provisions/exclusions, take precedence over Medical Policy and must be considered first in determining eligibility for coverage.